



Influence of Sectional Breathing on Selected Physiological Variables among College Men Students

C.Chandra Obul Reddy¹ & Dr. K. Rama Subba Reddy²

¹School Assistant in Physical Education, Z P High School, Tangutur, Rajupalem (M),YSR Kadapa District.

²Co-Ordinator and Secretary, Sports Board DPSS, Yogi Veemana University,YSR Kadapa District.

Received 06th July 2020, Accepted 08th August 2020

Abstract

The purpose of the study was to find out the influence of sectional breathing on selected physiological variables among college men students. To achieve this purpose, thirty men students from CSSR & SRRM Degree College, Kamalapuram, YSR (D), Andhra Pradesh, India were randomly selected as subjects. They were divided into two equal groups and each group consisted of fifteen subjects. Group - I underwent sectional breathing practices for five days per week for six weeks and group - II acted as control who did not participate in any special training apart from the regular curricular activities. The subjects were tested on selected criterion variables such as breath holding time and resting pulse rate at prior to and immediately after the training period. The selected criterion variables such as breath holding time and resting pulse rate were measured by using holding the breath for maximum duration and radial pulse respectively. The analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was used to find out the significant differences if any, between groups on selected criterion variables separately. In all the cases, .05 level of confidence was fixed to test the significance, which was considered as an appropriate. The results of the study revealed that there was a significant difference between experimental group and control group on breath holding time and resting pulse rate. And also the results of the study showed that there was a significant improvement on breath holding time and resting pulse rate due to sectional breathing practices.

Keywords: Sectional Breathing, Breath Holding Time, Resting Pulse Rate, ANCOVA.

© Copy Right, IJRRAS, 2020. All Rights Reserved.

Introduction

The three Sanskrit words Prana, Pran and Pranayama come from the same Sanskrit root 'pran' which represents the life force, the universal energy. These three concepts and the realities they represent from a continuum in which human beings are indissolubly linked to the divine source of cosmic energy.

The science of breath is called in Sanskrit *pranayama*. The word *pranayama* is a compound word which consists of *prana* and *yama*. *Prana* means life-force, or the vital energy, or that force by which we have our life. *Ayam* means control, i.e. control of the breath. That is the literal meaning. The first expression of life-force or *prana* is in the motion of the lungs. If a child does not breath at its birth for some time, we give up the hope of that child. The first expression of life would be the breath, and motion of the lungs produces the breath. It is the primary function, and all other functions of the heart, digestive organs, and others are secondary.

Methodology

The purpose of the study was to find out the influence of sectional breathing on selected physiological variables among college men students. To achieve this purpose, thirty men students from CSSR & SRRM Degree College, Kamalapuram, YSR (D), Andhra Pradesh, India were randomly selected as subjects. They were divided into two equal groups and each group consisted of fifteen subjects. Group - I underwent sectional breathing practices for five days per week for six weeks and group - II acted as control who did not participate in any special training apart from the regular curricular activities. The subjects were tested on selected criterion variables such as breath holding time and resting pulse rate at prior to and immediately after the training period. The selected criterion variables such as breath holding time and resting pulse rate were measured by using holding the breath for maximum duration and radial pulse respectively. The analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was used to find out the significant differences if any, between groups on selected criterion variables separately. In all the cases, .05 level of confidence was fixed to test the significance, which was considered as an appropriate.

Correspondence

Dr. K. Rama Subba Reddy

Yogi Veemana University

Analysis of the Data Breath Holding Time

The analysis of covariance on breath holding time of pre and post tests for sectional breathing group

and control group was used to analysis the data which is and presented in table 1.

Table 1. Analysis of covariance on breath holding time of pre and post tests scores of sectional breathing group and control groups

Test	Sectional Breathing Group	Control Group	Source of Variance	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Squares	Obtained 'F' Ratio
Pre Test							
Mean	36.22	36.10	Between	0.0189	1	0.0189	0.212
S.D.	1.18	1.09	Within	2.489	28	0.089	
Post Test							
Mean	42.11	36.21	Between	1.145	1	1.145	4.46*
S.D.	0.98	1.09	Within	7.214	28	0.257	
Adjusted Post Test							
Mean	42.08	36.14	Between	2.587	1	2.587	8.29*
			Within	8.412	27	0.312	

* Significant at .05 level of confidence.

(The table values required for significance at .05 level of confidence with df 1 and 28 and 1 and 27 were 4.20 and 4.21 respectively)

The table 1 shows that the adjusted post-test means on breath holding time of sectional breathing group and control group are 42.08 and 36.14 respectively. The obtained "F" ratio of 8.29 for adjusted post-test mean is greater than the table value of 4.21 for df 1 and 27 required for significance at .05 level of confidence on

breath holding time.

Resting Pulse Rate

The analysis of covariance on resting pulse rate of pre and post tests for sectional breathing group and control group was used to analysis the data which is and presented in table 2.

Table 2. Analysis of covariance on resting pulse rate of pre and post tests scores of sectional breathing group and control groups

Test	Sectional Breathing Group	Control Group	Source of Variance	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Squares	Obtained 'F' Ratio
Pre Test							
Mean	70.22	71.21	Between	0.0078	1	0.0078	0.177
S.D.	0.89	0.91	Within	1.241	28	0.044	
Post Test							
Mean	68.11	71.20	Between	1.254	1	1.254	7.69*
S.D.	0.81	0.91	Within	4.558	28	0.163	
Adjusted Post Test							
Mean	68.12	71.21	Between	1.0045	1	1.0045	4.37*
			Within	6.217	27	0.23	

* Significant at .05 level of confidence.

(The table values required for significance at .05 level of confidence with df 1 and 28 and 1 and 27 were 4.20 and 4.21 respectively)

The table 2 shows that the adjusted post-test means on resting pulse rate of sectional breathing group and control group are 68.12 and 71.21 respectively. The obtained “F” ratio of 4.37 for adjusted post-test mean is more than the table value of 4.21 for df 1 and 27 required for significance at .05 level of confidence on resting pulse rate.

Results

1. There was a significant difference among sectional breathing group and control group on breath holding time.
2. There was a significant difference among sectional breathing group and control group on resting pulse rate.
3. There was a significant improvement on breath holding time and significant reduction on resting pulse rate due to sectional breathing practices.

References

1. Yogacharya Janakiraman and Carolina Rosso Cicogna, *Solar Yoga*, p.54.
2. Swami Abhedananda, *Yoga Psychology*, (Calcutta: Ramakrishna Vedanta math, 1999), p.54.
3. Kunalayananda., *Pranayama*, (Bombay: Popular Prakashan, 1966.
4. Gore M.M., *Anatomy and physiology of yogic practices* Lonavala: Kanchan Prakashan, 1991.
5. Bhargava R., Autonomic responses to breath holding and its variations following pranayama. *Indian journals physiology pharmacology*, 32(4) 1988 October-December P. 257-64.
6. Jayadeva Yogendra., *Pranayama. Journal of the Yoga Institute*, Vol.7, 1965, p.111
7. www.curewithyoga.com
8. www.indovacations.net
9. www.yogapoint.com
10. www.intentblog.com
11. www.pranayoga.be
12. www.yogajournal.com